

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_118755
Title of the Manuscript:	Automated Agriculture: Shaping the Farms of Tomorrow
Type of the Article	Article review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The article review is important to community as it gives a brief overview about the technologies that can be used to aid farming. However, there are some statements that is based on opinion and does not contain rigid information that will back up the claim. "Example: In some regions, labourers are available, but they are not skilled. The shortage of workers is a big issue everywhere, and it is made worse by the fact that many farmers are older, which means there are not enough people to do manual work on farms." No information that will support the data as shortage in laborers is dependent in each country.</p> <p>2. A brief overview of technologies in Automated Agriculture: Shaping the Farms of tomorrow</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive but consider adding the modern technologies that is discussed in the body of the article in order for the reader to have a quick idea about the technologies that will be discussed throughout the article. Also, the keywords written do not reflect in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes, the topics are organized and discussed briefly.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct as it shows the modern farming technologies that will help increase farm productivity. Just provide rigid information to back up your claims and also make a limitation about the technologies mentioned as it not represents all the advanced technologies in modern farming.</p> <p>6. In terms of the initial content, the references are sufficient. However, if you will back up some data about your claims to make the study more rigid, consider adding references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dharell B. Siano
Department, University & Country	Bataan Peninsula State University, Philippines