

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_119342
Title of the Manuscript:	Literary texts as a source of teaching material in ESL/EFL classrooms in India Universities
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The focus here is on teaching English language at the undergraduate level, and the sample can be drawn from science community as well. It does not specifically address them.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Good abstract, captures the summary of arguments</p> <p>The subsections are correct, but the article does not promise what it is supposed to do.</p> <p>This is difficult to say, depends on the style sheet the editor has in mind.</p> <p>More than adequate, and a few are redundant</p> <p>The scholar has a tendency to use language in a complex manner where simple sentences will serve the purpose. This could have been avoided. But it is not a serious flaw and it is a matter of personal style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>A little complex, I wish it were a simpler to make it reader-friendly</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researcher talks of quantitative data analysed with appropriate statistics. But the analysis and the results are not found anywhere in the article. Choice of Gowri Vishwanath's introduction to Masks of Conquest is not an appropriate choice, It is a difficult text. Not all the tasks are complete, e.g. Guided Reading 2 could have been a little more challenging; Role Play with characters like Macaulay, James Mill etc. is not a practical task. However, the idea is appreciated.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S Mohanraj
Department, University & Country	English and Foreign Languages University, India