

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_119064
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Correlation between R&D Investment and Financial Performance-Taking the Automobile Manufacturing Industry as an Example
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community and more precisely to the automobile sectors</p> <p>The title is appropriate but should also show that the study was carried out in china</p> <p>The abstract does not show the sampled unit nor does it give the conclusion and recommendations made in this study</p> <p>The subsections and structure are appropriate but there is need to add some theories that support the study, show the research design adopted and the population from which the sample was derived from and the sampling procedure followed.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct and very relevant considering the increasing need for automobiles worldwide.</p> <p>There is need for in text citations to strengthen some comments referred from other author .The researcher to maintain references which are not more than 10 years old in order to remain relevant.</p> <p>There is need to test the panel data for stationarity, normality, heteroskedasticity, and autocorrelation</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Avoid hanging sentences by ensuring one sentence informs or leads to the next sentence. The ideas should flow.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The work is generally good and the author needs to ensure that the summary of findings, conclusion and recommendations are all based on the study findings and either accept or fail to accept the hypothesis of this study</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>John Mutugi Gachoki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kiriri Women University of Science and Technology, Kenya</b>