

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_119283
Title of the Manuscript:	Percutaneous aortic valve implantation (TAVI) in a patient with severe peripheral arterial disease : A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes considering the presence of peripheral artery disease before TAVI is important.</p> <p>Yes suitable</p> <p>Yes with minor grammatical errors/spelling mistakes.</p> <p>Yes appropriate for a case report.</p> <p>Scientifically correct.</p> <p>References can be added for background/introduction part. It would be appropriate if the facts, complications and predictors mentioned in the background along with suitable citations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor grammatical errors/spelling mistakes needs to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Polyarterial patient mentioned in the background needs clarity. In conclusion- Concluding with peripheral artery disease as "common" in a single patient report could be revised or mentioned earlier with references . Concluding with the word possibility instead of common would be more appropriate.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gem Raghav Prashanth R
Department, University & Country	Government Chengalpattu Medical College, India