

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_119020
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE – MEANS TO ACHIEVE A BETTER ECONOMY IN CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript covers fundamental concepts effectively but lacks significant innovations or novel findings. Its impact may be limited to serving as a useful reference for basic understanding.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable. A spell check is recommended.</p> <p>The abstract should be revised and compressed for better clarity and conciseness.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, but the work is minimal. Additionally, the author concludes that SCC is better than normal concrete without providing scientific explanation or evidence. This claim needs to be mentioned and discussed in detail.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient. However, it is advisable to ensure that references are within the last decade.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>A thorough review for grammar and style consistency is recommended.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Prithviraj
Department, University & Country	Jei Mathaajee College of Engineering, Anna University, India