

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120203
Title of the Manuscript:	Varied Mistakes Committed by Students in Division
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, this research is quite important to analyze students' misunderstandings in understanding concepts	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title improvement suggestions "Division Errors Frequently Made by Students"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract still needs in-depth improvement where the researcher must state the importance of this research, the research methodology is clarified and the results obtained must also be more specific according to the research objectives	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Still needs improvement	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, based on the results of the research conducted it appears that this scientific work is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	There are quite a lot of references used, but the references are outdated because they are more than 15 years old, so it is necessary to change the references so that the information provided	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	still needs improvement	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ernawati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muslim Maros, Indonesia