

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_120192
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTERNAL FINANCIAL FLOWS, FISCAL POLICY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>This manuscript presents the actual task of assessing the impact of various financial factors on the level of human development on the example of Nigeria. This large country has experienced dramatic changes in recent decades that are not easy to explain conceptually. The authors of the manuscript propose a quantitative model that can partially explain the results of these changes.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>This manuscript is scientifically correct both in form and in content, as it presents the results of the research. This research is based on a thorough theoretical basis, the mathematical model is relevant to the research area. Calculations were made on real data.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>The references sufficient and recent</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leonid Galchynsky</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Igor Sikorsky National Technical University of Ukraine "KPI", Ukraine</b>