

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120111
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of genetic variability and genetic diversity of an underutilized wild legume <i>Vigna stipulacea</i> (Lam.) Kuntz to unravel its fodder potential
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, important for improving fodder yield of <i>Vigna stipulacea</i> .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes with few corrections.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct for improving fodder yield of <i>Vigna stipulacea</i> . <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Uniform style of the JABB needs to be thoroughly followed, as mentioned in the given 'Author guidelines' and 'SDI-Paper-template' of the Journal especially for Reference section.2. The manuscript is relevant for publication in the Journal as its content is good and interesting.3. Minor revision is needed.4. Finally, the manuscript can be accepted as a Full length scientific paper after the corrections of the instructions given in the bodies of the manuscript and also the questions asked to be answer as per instructions are made.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent with one suggestion.	

Review Form 1.8

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision is need. Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Suitable for publication with few corrections as mention in the body of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mir Sharf Uddin Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Bangladesh