

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_119894
Title of the Manuscript:	A health technology assessment perspective on communicating local knowledge of Hippolite O. Amadi et al.'s neonatal interventions in global health research
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. - I don't see the significance of the study to the scientific community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The problems statements brought forth in the introductory section and objective of the study don't align - The desk review conducted doesn't amount to add anything to the scientific literature, as it was only summarization of the studies conducted by only one researcher. <p>2. Major concerns include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The objectives should be linked to the conclusion made; the conclusion made on "... the transformative role journals ... [make] in disseminating local innovations globally...." Is not supported by the method used and the results don't reflect that claim. - Why studies conducted by one author if the intention is to review innovative health technologies in LMIC or if specifically, in Nigeria, the review should include all relevant studies. <p>3. I can't suggest an alternative title, as the study's objectives are not clear.</p> <p>4. For the remaining sections the above comment applies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is not suitable and needs extensive editing.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saleh Idrisnur
Department, University & Country	Eritrea