

## SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_119894
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A health technology assessment perspective on communicating local knowledge of Hippolite O. Amadi et al.'s neonatal interventions in global health research</b>
Type of Article :	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 2:

<b>FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</b>
1. The significance of the study to the scientific community is not clear.	This has been adjusted
2. The problem statement and objective of the study are not well aligned.	This paper is a review of previous works hence the issue of problem statement is not applicable as this current article is not an empirical study. The paper is a position paper using desk research not an empirical one that must have research problems and objectives in the usual paper writing structure.
3. The desk review conducted does not contribute any new insights to the scientific literature, as it only summarizes previous studies by a single researcher.	This article has been able to highlight the contributions made by Amadi et al as such draw the attention of the health community to the local knowledge and invention in addressing neonatal issues especially areas with low resources.
4. The exploration of one author is not justifiable	The focus of this study is on one author