

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_120513
Title of the Manuscript:	Between exchange rate returns and crude oil returns
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the inter relationship between the exchange rate and price of crude oil which is also one of the highly debatable and debated topics. Employing the relevant and appropriate methodological approach has paved way for the replication of the similar research as well. Moreover, the findings also seem to be reliable.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>'Interconnectivity between Exchange Rate Returns and Crude Oil Returns' could be the suitable topics</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract seems to be comprehensive. However, the information regarding the number of the years, the countries under consideration could be more effective from the perspectives of readers. In addition, the values presented after the decimals if provided in same numbers either two, three or four could be more rational. Finally, the keywords if presented alphabetically could be more rational.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Literature Review could be more relevant than the literature survey. Moreover, the theoretical aspects if reviewed and presented in a subsection rather than in the methodology section could be more effective. In addition, the information regarding the data and data sources seem to be missing and added to other subsection, could be fine if presented in a separate subsection.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The analysis of the data through the rigorous methodology has enhanced the reliability of the findings. Moreover, the manuscript focuses on the macroeconomic indicators along with the reaction of the investigators as well ensuring the comprehensiveness of the study. The statistical techniques employed also seem to be appropriate and well justified.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Since the literatures incorporated are based upon various nations with different socio-economic status seem to be sufficient and as the study has reviewed the literatures of 2024 as well seems to be recent.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The literatures reviewed are not in order, so arrange either in ascending or descending order on the basis of Year. The theories presented on the methodology sections do not have the sufficient and relevant reviews. The numbers after the decimals in the tables do not have the equal number after the decimals, some have three and some have four numbers after the decimal. Maintain the same numbers after the decimals. The explanation of the tables are not consistent.</p> <p>The quality of the English language seems to be satisfactory.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Neupane
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University, Nepal