

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120688
Title of the Manuscript:	Empowering Women through Education and Skill Development: An Analysis of Beti Bachao-Beti Padhao, Stand-Up India, and Skill India Mission
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant as it addresses crucial government initiatives in India aimed at promoting gender equality and empowering women through education and skill development. By analyzing programs like Beti Bachao-Beti Padhao, Stand-Up India, and Skill India Mission, the paper highlights both achievements and ongoing challenges in these areas. Such an examination is essential for understanding the broader socio-economic impact of these initiatives and provides significant comprehensions for policymakers, researchers, and advocates working towards gender parity and women's empowerment.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Empowering Women through Education and Skill Development: An Analysis of Beti Bachao-Beti Padhao Stand-Up India and Skill India Mission" is suitable. It clearly indicates the focus on the three major government initiatives aimed at women's empowerment through education and skill development. The title is both informative and specific, giving a clear indication of the manuscript's content.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is thorough as it succinctly summarizes the objectives, key components, and impacts of the three initiatives. It would be helpful, though, if the difficulties raised in the study were briefly mentioned. Adding a sentence on the ongoing challenges and recommendations would provide a more balanced overview.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Each initiative is given a dedicated section that covers its genesis, objectives, key components, successes, and challenges. This structured approach allows for a clear and detailed analysis of each program, making it easy for readers to follow and understand the arguments presented.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically strong and technically sound. It bases its analysis on credible sources, including government documents, peer-reviewed articles, and reputable reports. Additionally, the discussion on challenges and recommendations demonstrates a critical and thorough understanding of the subject matter.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are both sufficient and recent, covering a range of studies and reports that are relevant to the analysis. The manuscript includes sources from the last few years, ensuring that the information is up-to-date. If possible, incorporating more recent statistics or studies from 2023-2024 could further enhance the manuscript.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is well-written, with clear and concise language that effectively communicates the research findings. Minor grammatical improvements could be made, but they do not significantly detract from the overall readability.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>In general, the manuscript presents a thorough and intuitive analysis of important government initiatives aimed at empowering women in India. The detailed examination of each program's objectives, achievements, and challenges provides a valuable contribution to the field. The recommendations for overcoming existing challenges are well-founded and offer practical guidance for future policy development. The Paper is well-written but more than half of it is generated via AI.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahnaz Iqbal Yousafzai
Department, University & Country	Abdul Wali Khan University, Pakistan