

## ReviewForm

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120544</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Knowledge of infertility: its causes, risk factors, Diagnostics and treatments among infertile women who are seeking treatment for their childlessness, study from India</b>
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript fills a key gap in our understanding of infertility among Indian women seeking treatment, particularly in rural areas. It identifies major misconceptions and knowledge gaps surrounding the causes, risk factors, diagnosis, and treatment of infertility. The study's findings highlight the critical need for targeted education and awareness programs to increase fertility literacy among the general public, ultimately improving reproductive health outcomes. I admire this manuscript's comprehensive approach to evaluate the breadth and depth of infertility knowledge among the study population. It gives useful information about the prevalent ideas and misconceptions that influence infertility treatment-seeking behaviours. However, it also highlights serious gaps in understanding that could hinder early and effective infertility therapies. The study adds to the scientific community by underlining the significance of culturally relevant and accessible fertility education programs in resource-constrained settings such as rural India.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. I would also like to suggest an alternative title because of clarity and readability "Knowledge of Infertility: Causes, Risk Factors, Diagnostics, and Treatments among Infertile Women Seeking Treatment in India"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides an overview of a study that evaluated infertility knowledge among 200 rural infertile women in Haryana, India. The findings show that there is a lack of information, with fewer than half accurately describing infertility (48.7%) and 59% believing it is preventable. While 67% had high overall knowledge, there were gaps in understanding regarding the impact of age on fertility and awareness of diagnostic tools such as sperm analysis and ultrasonography. The study underlines the need of focused education in improving fertility literacy, which could enhance treatment outcomes and reproductive health in rural India.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript follows the typical format for scientific research publications, which includes an introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion, conclusion, recommendations, ethical consideration, and references. Subsections inside each main section are likely to give extensive content structure, promoting clarity and logical flow from background material to conclusions. This systematic strategy ensures that the publication is understandable and effectively communicates study findings and	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article displays scientific robustness by using a methodical technique to assess infertility knowledge among a specific demographic: rural infertile women in Haryana, India. The descriptive study methodology, standardized interview schedules, and large sample size (200 participants) improve the reliability and generalizability of the results. Furthermore, the inclusion of statistical analysis and clear display of data in tables ensures transparency and validates the conclusions reached. Overall, the manuscript's use of rigorous scientific procedures and detailed data analysis enhances its technical soundness and trustworthiness in meeting the study's aims.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> -	The manuscript should include references that sufficiently address current infertility research, including recent studies (usually within the previous 5-10 years) to reflect advances in the field. Consider sources that discuss cultural perspectives on infertility in rural areas, advances in fertility treatments, and socioeconomic influences on treatment-seeking behaviour. A complete review form with mentioned references would allow for a more specific examination, as well as suggestions for further references if	

**Review Form**

~~Minor~~ REVISION comments

<p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
---	---	--

**Review Form 3**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	N/A	
-------------------------------------	-----	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nijan Upadhyay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepal</b>