

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120717
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity and relative abundance of insect pests and natural enemies in Bacillus treated rice
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper deals with an interesting and current topic. The importance of scientific manuscript can be seen in the fact that manuscript contribute to the collective knowledge base by presenting new findings, theories, or methodologies. They build upon existing research and drive scientific progress. Also, this manuscript disseminate information to the scientific community, allowing researchers to learn from each other's work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of this paper is clear and concise, and clearly reflects the paper that follows	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of the paper is current and has great scientific importance. This manuscript introduce interesting idea and approache that can lead to practical applications. A scientifically robust manuscript clearly outlines its research methods, controls, and data collection procedures. It ensures reproducibility and minimizes bias. The manuscript should demonstrate rigorous analysis, appropriate sample sizes, and reliable data interpretation. Transparency in reporting results is crucial.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	A technically sound manuscript cites relevant literature, supporting its claims and placing the research within the broader context of existing knowledge.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language in which this paper is written is clear and suitable for scientific research	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natalija Atanasova-Pancevska
Department, University & Country	University St. Cyril and Methodius, North Macedonia