

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120099
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of hybrids with promising restorers under test cross nursery study in rice (Oryza Sativa L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1. 8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title can be like this Identification of maintainers and restorers and superior hybrids of rice	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes. But yield of hybrids not mentioned in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	There are many spelling mistakes (spikelet) in introduction and other parts which have to be corrected and I capital have to be used for India. Yield advantage of superior hybrids are on par with high yielding open pollinated variety.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Many references are old ones almost 10 years back. Better to use recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Many spelling and grammatical mistakes have to be corrected to improve quality of the article. over all the article was written in hurry burry way . Not given proper concentration. Better to rewrite with some time allocation.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nidanapati Karanam Gayathri
Department, University & Country	Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, India