

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_117886
Title of the Manuscript:	UNVEILING THE ECONOMIC DYNAMICS OF RICE CULTIVATION: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THANJAVUR DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, as stated in the title- it tackles economics of crop cultivation for sustainability.</p> <p>2. Title has to be revised. No need to state experimental study since it can be discussed in the Materials & Method section. The Economic Dynamics of Rice Cultivation for Sustainability in Thanjavur District, Tamil Nadu, India</p> <p>3. yes, but it is different from the paper. Abstract has the objectives, but the Introduction does not. Abstract has this: An experiment on rice treatments to improve soil fertility and sustainable crop productivity, but NEVER discussed.</p> <p>4. Yes it is appropriate but not thorough discussed.</p> <p>5. Yes, but it lacks discussion and consistency. The introduction states that: stakeholders can develop strategies to enhance economic outcomes and promote the long-term success of rice crop cultivation, but NEVER discussed. Starting from Table 3. Economics of Different Treatments, discuss the results. please add more references to support the findings of the study. Conclusion must be based on the results and objectives, but the conclusion written is just a repetition of the result, no conclusion drawn out of the findings.</p> <p>6. References are not sufficient. Add more to build the foundation of the study. Parthasarathi, S. no in-text citation, just referenced.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juvy G. Mojares
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering Technology, Batangas State University, Philippines