

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120869
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Coriander (Coriandrum sativum L.) Varieties to Different Levels of Zinc
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is quite important to the scientific community, as it is fairly informative.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is Ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have made some suggestions/rearrangement in the text.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. But those small subsections within the Results and Discussion could be merged together.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As far as science is concerned it is correct, though I didn't see statistical significance mentioned anywhere. I am not used to coriander and asked the author to elaborate the link, if any, between coriander growth and Zn (as compared to other nutrients).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	I have no problem here.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I noted a number of mixed-up sentences for which I have suggested corrections (in yellow highlights).	
Optional/General comments	It will make a good contribution to the scientific community if the suggestions are incorporated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Godsteven Peter Maro
Department, University & Country	Tanzania Coffee Research Institute, Tanzania