

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJPSS _120535
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Drought Stress of Two Genotypes of Sorghum (Sorghun bicolor L.) Using Physiological Parameters
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	sorghum has some interesting properties for human consumption: it is gluten-free and has a low glycaemic index in particular. it is grown in arid areas and can help to tackle climate change. that's why i think this article is so important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title is appropriate, as it announces from the outset what the text is about	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is good, but I would suggest an introductory sentence	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	the structure of the manuscript is appropriate. for a school question, I would have liked the results to be detached from the discussion, to allow the author to develop the discussion better.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The introduction to an article sets out the issues to be addressed in the study. Its logical progression and the definitions it may include give readers a clear understanding of the subject they are tackling. for me this manuscript has a solid scientific basis	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	references must be updated with data less than 10 years old	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N'DA Hugues Annicet
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Centre, Côte d'Ivoire