

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_120069
Title of the Manuscript:	Anaemia and Chronic Kidney Disease: A Brief Review on the Direction of Their Relationship
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	In this article author represents a strong relationship between anaemia and kidney disease. pathophysiological connections of both conditions were properly explained , clinical implications, diagnosis, and management strategies for anaemia in chronic kidney disease patients, highlighting the need for ongoing research and integrated care approaches. So this article is acceptable for scientific communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title of article is properly justified.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes it is properly written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some small modification is needed in Pathophysiology of Anaemia in Chronic Kidney Disease	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes it is scientific up-to the mark	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	They are sufficient ,But add few more recent reference for uplifting the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not any	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lubna Azmi
Department, University & Country	University of Lucknow, India