

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_120380
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSES OF INTEGRATING SOLAR INVERTER INTO THE EXISTING ENERGY SYSTEMS IN NIGERIAN HEALTHCARE CENTERS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance of integration of solar inverter in the health care sector during the power outages. Power reliability is very important in hospitals, this idea of utilizing solar inverter is good. I like this idea of the manuscript	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Abstract is comprehensive to article, no addition and deletion are needed for this.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Integrating solar PV systems offers both economic and non-quantifiable benefits, making it a viable and sustainable solution for healthcare facilities. However, challenges related to initial investment costs and space availability need to be addressed during implementation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Add some literature survey papers along with	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of the article is good, found lack of enough literature survey.	
Optional/General comments	Include Literature survey	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yellaiah Ponnam
Department, University & Country	Lords Institute of Engineering and Technology, India