

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_120469
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological assessment of animal bite injury cases and rabies post exposure prophylaxis in Kisumu County, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very useful for the society, as it emphasizes the fact of post-exposure prophylaxis importance among the public, so as to prevent the deadly disease Rabies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The word cases can be avoided. "Epidemiological assessment of animal bite injury and rabies post exposure prophylaxis in Kisumu County, Kenya"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Under abstract results section, all the age groups need not be added. The majority which comes under a particular age group can just be added.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific reason behind the manuscript is very useful for the public. The various geographical locations have been emphasized in this article, so that the concerned authorities can increase their vigilance on the canine animals.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammer correction need to be done.	
Optional/General comments	Under 3.0 Results: Avoid repetitions, which is already present in abstract, regarding the age groups. Under 4.0 Discussion: The word "Elsewhere" can be avoided, and the names of the corresponding reference articles like "Fevre et al" can be added. The part of the body bitten, and number has been emphasized in this article. Please elaborate on the use of that particular entity. What do you mean by unknown animal bites. Emphasize.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shefali Navamani
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India