

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJPAS_117820
Title of the Manuscript:	DISCRETE ERLANG MIXED DISTRIBUTIONS AND THEIR PROPERTIES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Must be checked by real data sets</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English writing is very poor. There are many grammatical mistakes. The authors must see this important issue.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. There are so many grammatical/typo mistakes, eliminate all of them.</p> <p>2. Paper is very poorly written, no background theory in logical manner, see this.</p> <p>3. No real data analysis, this is very serious concern.</p> <p>4. No parameter estimation using basic classical methods have been done, nothing suggested in this regard.</p> <p>5. Update with some recent references and cite the following for more clarity of a reader:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • El-Morshedy, M., Eliwa, M. S., & Tyagi, A. (2022). A discrete analogue of odd Weibull-G family of distributions: properties, classical and Bayesian estimation with applications to count data. <i>Journal of Applied Statistics</i>, 49(11), 2928-2952. • Tyagi, A., Choudhary, N., & Singh, B. (2020). A new discrete distribution: Theory and applications to discrete failure lifetime and count data. <i>J. Appl. Probab. Statist</i>, 15, 117-143. • Tyagi, A., Choudhary, N., & Singh, B. (2019). Discrete additive Perks–Weibull distribution: properties and applications. <i>Life Cycle Reliability and Safety Engineering</i>, 8, 183-199. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek Tyagi
Department, University & Country	India