

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120518</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CLASSROOM STRUCTURE STRATEGIES OF TEACHERS AND LANGUAGE COMMUNICATION HITCHES OF STUDENTS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The article is significant to improve language instruction in school</li> <li>- It will help in differentiated teaching and language learning at schools</li> <li>- It is helpful in structural classroom methodology for language learning</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable in the context of the study but can be improved and simplified	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive .	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The study needs to have subsections for objectives of the study and hypothesis for correlation of the variables .	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The study has explored the correlation between/ among variables</li> <li>- It has followed the authors' guidelines to some extent.</li> <li>- the study has rejected the null hypothesis by following statistical methods</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references needs to be cited in APA style and be relevant to the content or materials cited.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs improvement through review and revision by the author/ authors	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article needs to be reviewed by the author(s) for improvement of references, inclusion of more literature review, objectives and hypothesis. Discussing learning theories in the literature as discussed in finding section.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousaf Khan
Department, University & Country	GHSS Charbagh, Pakistan