

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120146
Title of the Manuscript:	Increasing Student Learning Engagement in Entrepreneurship Learning Through Gamification Methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	The given manuscript is relevant to the academic and student community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is relevant.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, the researcher has followed the Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion approach.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate. There is a small order change of sub headings has been suggested by the reviewer in the observations. Kindly find the attached document for in detail for the observations.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	The researcher has followed the scientific way of research article. However, editorial assistance (Grammar check) can be taken to present the article much more in better way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	It would be good if the researcher had two more recent reviews to comply the minimum requirements of 15 reviews for an international journal publication.	

Review Form 1.8

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>However, editorial assistance (Grammar check) can be taken to present the article much more in better way.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author has to follow the given manuscript guidelines given in the journal.</p> <p>Reviewer Observations & Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Researcher has followed the Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion (IMRAD) approach to present the abstract of the research article. 2. Though the researcher has given insights to introduction of the study, it is all review based. Hence, the researcher has been asked to give 500 words of theoretical/ conceptual based introduction to the study. 3. In all the review of literatures, Researcher has to write author name and year followed by key research outcomes as the review of literature and that should be given as second main heading and following points to be discussed as mentioned below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Write the statement of problem. b) Objectives of the study. c) Formulating research question. 4. Learning method of Gamification – All the points discussed in the main heading of methods as per the research article has to be renamed as Learning Method of Gamification. Before discussion on results of BEP calculation, mention separate heading as data analysis that has to be presented in the tabular form about the demographic profile of the students in terms of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Age group of students. b) Basic Qualification of the students. c) Whether the students aware of Gamification methods. d) Area of residence. After the above table then present Gamification questions. 5. Write the summary of findings, suggestions and followed by conclusion. 6. Write the limitations of the study along with the future scope of study 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sachana C
Department, University & Country	Bangalore University, India