

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120146
Title of the Manuscript:	Increasing Student Learning Engagement in Entrepreneurship Learning Through Gamification Methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, the manuscript is significant for scientific community because of a couple of reasons. Of them, gamification itself and the method of gamification is related to science. Next one, the methods of data collection followed in this paper demonstrate the scientific community. Last but not least, the reference style too.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The repetitive word 'Learning' in the title could be replaced with suitable one.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct because of research area, data collection tools resources used for literature review and reference section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	More references, 20 or above, could be standard. The number of references would have to be increase if the number of words get increased.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language is suitable though it would be better to improve.	
Optional/General comments	Grammar, spelling and capitalization and punctuation should be checked deeply. A good number of grammatical mistakes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Abdullah Al Younus
Department, University & Country	Feni University, Bangladesh