

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120270
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancement in use of Gibberellic Acid in Various Crops
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has been designed to let the community know about the importance of an essential plant growth hormone Gibberelic acid other than auxin and cytokinin. The hormone itself is a special type as it has the ability for simultaneous growth of shoot and root along with elongation. It enhances plants with incompatible features for germination and fruiting thereby aiding in cells for better metabolic function.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough and requires no change	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is well designed and appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review manuscript has covered wide range of crops with their dosage levels of GA and it also interpreted the hard work of researchers since its discovery. The facts collected in the manuscript is practically applied and proved correct and also used in large scale for food crops to meet out the food demand. So the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient enough	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		
	There are few typographical errors in the history section of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jahirhussain G
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College, Bharathidasan University, India