

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_120156
Title of the Manuscript:	Ciliary body metastasis treated with radiotherapy alone in a case of non-small cell lung cancer: A case report.
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes in terms of providing a case report of a lung adenocarcinoma with adrenal metastasis at the time of presentation, status post neoadjuvant chemo, and developed ciliary body and brain metastases, received local radiation therapy for both, and achieved complete radiological response for the ciliary body metastasis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Lung adenocarcinoma with ciliary body metastasis treated with radiotherapy alone: A case report.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes However the authors need to be more specific that the case is about lung adenocarcinoma, not squamous cell carcinoma or other types of non-small cell carcinoma.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes. The case report was about the clinical presentation and radiological/pathological findings of the metastatic lung adenocarcinoma managed with chemoradiation therapy. All the data reported in the manuscript were objective and adhered to the guidelines to manage lung adenocarcinoma.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	See attached word document.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xunda Luo
Department, University & Country	Temple University Hospital, United States