

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_119782
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on high and low temperature performance of straw fiber modified asphalt
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>No, The research topic was not innovative, and as a result, it will not be very important for the community of researchers</p> <p>No, The title of the research should have been more detailed and the new aspects of the research should have been mentioned in it.</p> <p>In my opinion, the research abstract was just a small reference to a report, and the number of changes obtained and the importance of the subject were not seen in it.</p> <p>No, The article lacked the correct subdivision of a scientific research.</p> <p>No, The article needs a Serious Major revision.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Overall, the article is very poorly laid out. The title of the article is inappropriate. The importance of the research topic and the existing scientific gap should be mentioned in the abstract, and finally, the small number of changes obtained in the results should be mentioned. In the introduction section, the problems in the subject are mentioned with more complete explanations and the strengths of the current research are mentioned. The material and method section is not well defined in the article. The type of tests done and the materials used and their physical and chemical properties are not mentioned. The results analysis part is not clear in this research and the results are incompletely presented.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>No, The article is not suitable for publication in a journal.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsen Mousivand
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran