

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRRU_118973 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MASSIVE BLADDER STONE IN A RURAL SECONDARY HEALTH FACILITY: CASE REPORT AND LITERATURE REVIEW |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>- Good approach and Good presentation :</p> <p>Abstract: The abstract is concise and effectively summarizes the key points of the case report.</p> <p>Introduction: The introduction provides a good overview of bladder stones and their epidemiology. It might benefit from more detailed statistics or a recent literature review to provide context on the prevalence and impact of giant bladder stones.</p> <p>Case Presentation: The case presentation is detailed and clearly outlines the patient's history, diagnosis, and treatment. Including specific details about the patient's demographic background and lifestyle could add more context to the case.</p> <p>- -Ensure the measurements are consistent throughout (e.g., 4 x 1.5 cm in the abstract vs. 4 x 2 cm in the case presentation).</p> <p>- - Reference's section is relevant</p> <p>- however, it's a very consumed subject, no special diagnostic challenge is introduced, nor any complex surgical treatment.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Reda Tariqi |
| Department, University & Country | Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Ibn Sina, Morocco |