

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_118370
Title of the Manuscript:	Quasi rational solutions to the fifth equation of the NLS hierarchy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>weak</p> <p>no</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>		
<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not perfect</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why do you named the equation as "fifth equation"? 2. The objectives and methodology of the paper should be included in the abstract of the paper. 3. You should study relevant previous studies in detail. 4. The abstract is very bad. Rewrite the abstract and conclusion to be consistent with the objectives and methodology of the paper in P.P. tense. 5. You have used the word "we" so many times repeatedly. Avoid this. 6. The introduction is so bad. Develop the introduction into three paragraphs: the first one for your subject, the second one for the literature review, and the last paragraph for the objectives and methodology of the paper. 7. Focus on your results. Put a section for "Main Results". Write carefully. 8. The paper is written very compactly. Put a new section "2. Background" or "2. Preliminary" to illustrate the idea's significant concepts, and definitions, and preliminary to include all the definitions and concepts related to your subject. 9. The section " 5. Conclusion " should be modified to "Discussion and Conclusion" to include the discussion of the results. 10. Check the article Grammatically, there are many grammatical mistakes for using "a", "the" and "s" etc. 	

Review Form 1.7

	11. You have to focus on the analysis of your study. 12. Modify and Rewrite the abstract. If you refer to an equation, then, you have to write Equation (no.) where no. is the number of equations.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Sahib Mechee
Department, University &Country	University of Kufa, Iraq

Review Form 1.7