

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118382
Title of the Manuscript:	Revamping the Secondary Education in India: A Journey from Independence to National Education Policy (NEP) 2020
Type of the Article	REVIEW

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, It stated about the implementation of the NEP and the past models before the NEP in 2020. It is a good insight on the secondary education in India by GOI. The writing based on the government, UNESCO and other related reports.</li> <li>2. Revamping/Restructuring Secondary Education in India.</li> <li>3. The abstract need to state some of the stages before coming to NEP. It would be good if can add some data on the restructuring agenda.</li> <li>4. Good also to mention one part of it as the advantages/disadvantages of each stages before NEP, so that the analyse will be assemble to draft the NEP&gt;</li> <li>5. It states about the implementation of NEP, and the stages before implementing the NEP&gt;it is good in the sense of explaining the detail of it.</li> <li>6. References are there, but not sufficient. Mostly references based on the reports from world bank, UNESCO, government. Would be good to add in several research article about implementing policies etc. lack of citations.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	YES	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nagoor Meera Bin Abdullah
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia