

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118476
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of State Sharia Securities (SBSN) in Indonesia's Financing Sector
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.jurnalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>No. Needs to enhance</b></p> <p>4. <b>Adequate</b></p> <p>5. <b>Adequate, but needs a major revision</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, but needs to follow the APA format thoroughly</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	There are lots of English issue, needs to improve and send for expert English editing	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Author are advised to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Re-write the abstract and conclusion</li> <li>2. Enhance the Research methodology with additional information of tool analysis used in the study</li> <li>3. Re-arrange the Discussion. Author/s are advised to discuss the result in appropriate sub-topic.</li> <li>4. All the tables and figures used in the article should discussed very well.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Faizal P.Rameli
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia