

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118476
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of State Sharia Securities (SBSN) in Indonesia's Financing Sector
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.jurnalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The quality of the manuscript is poor for the scientific community. It is not scientific in its approach as major sections of are missing. It also requires serious editorials.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The title requires minor modification to read “The Role of State Sharia Securities (SBSN) in Indonesia’s Financial Sector”.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not adequate. Major subsections for a study of this nature are missing. Subsections like, literature review (theoretical and empirical literature), research design of the study, model specification and method of data analysis/procedure are missing.</b></li> <li>5. <b>The scientifically correctness of the manuscript is questionable as it did not follow a scientific process to arrive at the conclusion.</b></li> <li>6. <b>Though the intext citations are recent, they do not correspond with the references in the reference sections. Most of the cited works are not referenced in the reference section. Ensure that ONLY cited works are appear in the reference section of the work.</b></li> </ol> <p>The major problem of the study needs to be identified and stated, which should give rise to the objective(s) of the study. The reason/problem statement of the study is also missing. The author needs to justify the need for this study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are lots of joint words that made reading the manuscript difficult.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The manuscript lacks major sections such as; problem statement/research gap, major objectives, literature review (theoretical literature, and empirical literature), research design, model specification to show the interaction between the dependent variable and the independent variable, method of data analysis, procedure and justification, presentation of results/analysis, and recommendations.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Most of the in-text citations are not referenced, and most of references are not cited in the body of the work.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Reference should be arranged in alphabetical order.</b></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ebierinyo Ayebaemi Akarara
Department, University & Country	Niger Delta University, Nigeria