

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_119358
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Corruption: A Gender-Based Analysis in Bhutan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Given that there are many categories of corruption, the title should be amended to read: " Perception of Political Corruption in Bhutan: A Gender-Based Analysis, to allow for interplay between the dependent and independent variables.. ➤ Abstract should be reworked to show a logical sequence from problem to methodology, findings, recommendations and conclusions. ➤ The introduction was not done to reveal the background of the study. Statement like " It disproportionately affects vulnerable populations, highlighting the importance of examining how different societal groups perceive corruption" (Bhandari, 2023) is vague, and incomplete. Such quotation should be correctly articulated. So, the background need to be reworked. ➤ == There should be a section on methodology detailing how data were collected and analyzed. ➤ The objectives of the study should be more than just one and should be properly itemized thus: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. ii. iii. ➤ The theories used are appropriate, however, given the argument of the study. I suggest the author(s) adopt only Feminist Theory as framework in this study t suitable for a journal publication. ➤ The conclusion is disconnected from the findings of the study. . This is inappropriate and perhaps subjective pre-determined conclusion. There is need for a more nuanced conclusion ➤ In-text citation is weak. Authors should study APA 6th edition citation format and use it to rework the in-text citation. The same applies to the references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imoke Marcellinus Ajah
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education Eha-Amufu, Nigeria