

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_118771
Title of the Manuscript:	In silico Docking Studies of Anti-malaria Potentials of the Phytochemicals in Chloroform Extract of Chrysophyllum albidum (star apple) Stem Bark.
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The manuscript is important for scientific community.Recent studies have paved the way for identifying valuable le which could be useful for antimalarial aspects as there is persistent urge for novel compounds that can act as potential therapeutic targets and P.falciparum lactate dehydrogenase enzyme represents one of such potential molecular target.</p> <p>2.Yes the title is suitable for research work process.</p> <p>3. No the abstract of the article is not lengthy.</p> <p>4.Subsections and structure given in the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5.yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6.The author given 31 references and that's sufficient and cover the total manuscript.</p> <p>Additional comments: The toxicity studies of different parts of plant extracts already studied in the literature. This research is beneficial for the society if toxicity screening results showed that the artemisinin drug candidate no toxicity to micr.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santanu Kumar Hotta
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India