

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_118474
Title of the Manuscript:	REMOVAL OF BRILLIANT GREEN DYE FROM SIMULATED WASTE WATER USING KERATIN DERIVED FROM CHICKEN FEATHERS
Type of the Article	Original Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This is a good research work indeed. The use of chicken feather as an adsorbent may reduce the waste load with a diversified application. It is a good approach but its presentation has a little weakness and problems.</p> <p>2. Author(s) may use the following title- "REMOVAL OF SYNTHETIC DYE FROM SIMULATED WASTE WATER BY USING CHICKEN FEATHER". The reason of changing title will be cleared when the author(s) notice the full review report.</p> <p>3. In abstract, the point of keratin synthesis is missing.</p> <p>4. In introduction, need strong background with specific scope/research gap.</p> <p>5. As per my observation, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, no problem with references.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p>1. In results and discussion, there is no chemical interaction between dyes and chicken feather.</p> <p>2. There is no chemical structure or characteristics of br. Green dyes.</p> <p>3. There is no scientific evidence regarding successful extraction of keratin.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Quite good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Need minor revision</p> <p>2. If supporting evidence (Additional comments: 03) is available then author(s) may also go through their own title.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Rezaul Karim
Department, University & Country	Port City International University, Bangladesh