

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_118474
Title of the Manuscript:	REMOVAL OF BRILLIANT GREEN DYE FROM SIMULATED WASTEWATER USING KERATIN DERIVED FROM CHICKEN FEATHERS
Type of the Article	Original Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the paper provides new and significant information adequate to justify publication. Research question, empirical analysis and context of application are interesting for improving academic and practical debate.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but the number of references of the last four years (2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024) is relatively small, It is necessary to provide the latest research progress to improve its scientific value.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-There are grammatical errors all over the manuscript, so because of this and spelling errors a deep English edition of the manuscript is required before acceptance.</p> <p>-The literature review about subject is at a basic level and should be significantly improved by a wide literature in the last years. For example, it could be useful to review at least the following paper on the topic.</p> <p>-The authors should provide more literature to support the content of this manuscript. The paper needs to better account the literature. From this point of view, it needs to be improved.</p> <p>-The number of references of the last four years (2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024) is relatively small, it is necessary to provide the latest research progress to improve its scientific value.</p> <p>- It is highly recommended this article for better readability.</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.2021.023</p> <p>- Several words in this manuscript may be not accurate.</p> <p>-Indexes should be checked and corrected throughout the text. For example, On 2.3. Adsorption Study, 2.4 Adsorption Kinetics Studies, 3.3 Adsorption Isotherm and Table 1: qt, qe, RL and etc.</p> <p>-*References should be formatted according to the journal guidelines.</p> <p>-Fig.4, Fig.5, Fig.6, Fig.7: The authors should replace the labels in the appropriate position.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Soltani
Department, University & Country	Yasouj Azad University, Iran