

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_118316
Title of the Manuscript:	DIETARY PATTERN OF DENGUE PATIENTS IN DISTRICT MARDAN
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>		
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In my opinion, the information on the research location is not clear in the title. Where is MARDAN DISTRICT? If local people read it, they might immediately understand, but if they are from abroad, it will be very difficult to understand.</li> <li>2. The Latin name for the Aedes mosquito must be written in italics.</li> <li>3. In my opinion, the literature review is not very important to discuss dengue virus serotypes and genes. However, you must focus on the problems raised by the author.</li> <li>4. Please include the ethical clearance approval number.</li> <li>5. The discussion should contain comparisons between the results obtained and results that have been published or compared with existing theories. In my opinion, a literature review is not necessary, it should be inclusion in the discussion.</li> <li>6. The introduction does not explain the importance of this research.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Teguh Hari Sucipto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Tropical Disease, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia</b>