

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Biotechnology Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJI_118638</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Starch Hydrolyzing Enzyme Production from Fruit Peels using Aspergillus Niger</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes,, but In the introduction, it is necessary to state similar research and whether weaknesses were found in previous journals so that researchers have this alternative to offer and The reasons for conducting this research are more specific if it will later be used in a wider industry and what benefits will be obtained</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but I consider it to be to extended.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Added reference to the recommended use of Starch Hydrolyzing Enzyme in industry</p> <p>The study provides advance in its field, as it allows some important conclusions on the stated impact of the chosen cases else and consequently enables the development of method</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dwin Seprian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tanjung Pura University, Indonesia</b>