

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_119381
Title of the Manuscript:	Ulnar tunnel syndrome caused by neurofibroma in the elbow: a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- As Ulnar nerve entrapment is more often than not challenging to diagnosis and the secondary causes including neurofibroma is rare this manuscript is important to all clinicians working in the field of orthopedy and physical medicine and rehabilitation and all other specialties related to musculoskeletal and nervous systems.</p> <p>2- Yes it is clear and relevant.</p> <p>3- Yes it is of good flow.</p> <p>4- Yes.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Actually there are so many grammatical errors in the manuscript that makes it necessary to be edited by an English-expert before being published.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author/authors have used expressions that are not familiar and used often in medicine. These should be substituted by more often used expressions so that make it more understandable by readers. Examples of these expressions is: "ductal syndrome" from which I think the authors meant to mention "nerve entrapment syndrome" that I highly suggest to be used instead of "ductal syndrome"</p> <p>Also there is something mentioned in physical exam of the patient that is not understandable, at least to me (an associate professor of physical medicine and rehabilitation who has worked on ulnar nerve entrapments for several years), and needs to be clarified: " Ulnar nerve listed at S2M5".</p> <p>Another important point that should be corrected is that it has been mentioned in the manuscript that the diagnosis of Ulnar nerve entrapment is clinical which is not correct. Although history and physical examination are very important parts of diagnosis, nerve entrapment can not be definitely diagnosed merely based on hx and P/E. The gold standard of diagnosis of nerve entrapments is electrophysiologic study.</p> <p>Finally since the most important paraclinical study of any nerve entrapment is electrophysiologic study I highly recommend to include the findings of the electrophysiologic study of the patient, both at the first diagnosis and post operatively. This manuscript has included the findings of all the patinets paracrinical studies such as sonography, MRI, pathology and gross appearance in operation but not the electrophysiology!</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharareh Roshanzamir
Department, University & Country	School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran