

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJRIMPS_117744
Title of the Manuscript:	Early Breast Cancer Prediction Using Machine Learning Algorithm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript studies different types of ML to early diagnosis of breast cancer.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The abstract may include a long description of statistics on BC that could be transferred to introduction. Otherwise, it describes the study subjective clearly and methods used in detection. I suggest to authors to add short notification of the method that provided best detection results.</p> <p>4. pretty much the subsections are structured well. However, the preprocessing section doesn't contain enough description of the preprocessing steps that the dataset undergoes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Most of the references used are recent within the past 5 years, but these references are not cited in paper sections.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Table -1- need enhancement</p> <p>2. The discussion of tables results should contain an opinion of the results meaning not only stating the table content in different form.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasmine M. Tabra
Department, University & Country	Al Nahrain University, College of Information Engineering, Iraq