

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_119029
Title of the Manuscript:	READING MOTIVATION AND READING COMPREHENSION OF SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL LEARNERS: A DESCRIPTIVE-CORRELATIONAL APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is well planned, delivers well defined objectives and is significant; so I feel that it is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable and covers the take away of the article.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, apt and delivers the central theme of the article, research methodology and meets the objectives of the study.</p> <p>4. It needs to be improved. Proper numbering should be done for sections and sub-sections, which creates ambiguity among readers.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>No additional suggestions.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>General Comments</p> <p>1. Cross check the use of punctuations and remove redundant punctuations.</p> <p>2. Numbering should be logical.</p> <p>3. Try to be brief in some paragraphs.</p> <p>4. Try to make the titles and sub-titles catchy.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhareppa Konnur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Seshadripuram Evening College, India</b>