

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_118746</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE DETERMINANTS OF ASSETS OPTIMIZATION: An Examination of Asset Valuation, Asset Inventory, and Legal Audit</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript presents the determinants of asset management, for that the authors present three pillars, asset valuation, asset inventory and legal audit. The authors present a study based on questionnaires done to employees on a large state owned company. This manuscript brings an interesting study that's very important to physical asset management.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. While reading the abstract the reader gets an idea of what will be found through the paper.</p> <p>4. The article is well constructed.</p> <p>5. The manuscript brings a good approach when concerning to the topic, however it is important that the study can be replicable to other companies, and the author does not present enough data for this to be possible, it is important that the author shares the questionnaires and formulas used as well as the evaluation method and its integration with the questionnaires, otherwise the manuscript loses a lot of interest.</p> <p>6. Optimizing the Life Cycle of Physical Assets – a Review. <a href="https://doi.org/10.37394/23203.2020.15.42">https://doi.org/10.37394/23203.2020.15.42</a></p> <p>Life Cycle Investment in the Water Sector – a Case Study. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-49413-0_53">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-49413-0_53</a></p> <p>Life Cycle Management of Hospital Physical Assets Waste Elimination. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-49413-0_12">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-49413-0_12</a></p> <p>Physical Asset Life Cycle Evaluation Models—A Comparative Analysis towards Sustainability. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/su152215754">https://doi.org/10.3390/su152215754</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language it's appropriated just needs a minor revision.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>J. Edmundo de-Almeida-e-Pais</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lusófona University, Portugal</b>