

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_119043
Title of the Manuscript:	Measure Constraints Faced by Farm Women Using ICT Tools by Garrett Ranking Method
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community, to increase literacy and can be used as a reference in measuring Constraints Faced by Farm Women Using ICT Tools by Garett Ranking Method</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>In general, the abstract is not complete, so it needs to be added according to the rules for writing abstracts in general, as I have stated in the manuscript</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate, so they need to be adjusted</p> <p>The contents of this manuscript are scientifically correct</p> <p>There were only 4 references used. Of the 4 existing references, all are old years. For the article to have better quality, the author is expected to add citations to the latest and up-to-date articles.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. For clarity, the abstract should be organized according to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Brief background (one or two sentences) Objectives of the study Data and research methods Analysis method Results Conclusion <p>2. There are only three citations taken from articles or books in this introduction. Therefore, add citations taken from any source! Especially from up-to-date articles</p> <p>3. What goals do you want to achieve?</p> <p>4. In the discussion, there is not a single reference that corroborates the results of this study. Please add at least a few references to create a proper discussion.</p> <p>5. The results and discussion are very confusing. The results obtained should be described by the objectives to be achieved.</p> <p>6. There were only 4 references used. Of the 4 existing references, all are old years. For the article to have better quality, the author is expected to add citations to the latest and up-to-date articles</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajun Purwanto
Department, University & Country	Institute of Teacher Training and Education, Indonesia