

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_119195
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Compressive Strength in Various Timbers for Agricultural Implements in Pantnagar, Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is a good article for local consumption and adoption of the output and I have the following input and suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Literature review should be carried out extensively ii. Authors or authority should be sited appropriately within the body of the manuscript iii. Species scientific and local names of the trees used should be stated for proper identification and recognition iv. Test for significant differences (ANOVA) should be carried out using appropriate statistical instrument or software such as SPSS, Microsoft Excel, R-script, etc. v. The references listed in the reference page should be sited in the body of the manuscript and vis-versa vi. Sections of the manuscript should be re-arranged to conform with other published articles in peer-reviewed journals 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is good and provide novelty but should be worked upon before considered for publishing</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ezekiel Ajayi
Department, University & Country	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria