

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_118522</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Use of Land resource inventory and soil nutrient status for mapping the Madapura micro-watershed in Ranebennur taluk, Haveri district, Karnataka, India</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>This research is important for the field of GIS and Remote sensing</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NOT APPROPRIATE. Suggestion to add more subsections in the Materials and Methods</p> <p>YES</p> <p>APPROPRIATE</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES but the author please check the grammar</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p><b>Abstract</b> - Should to state what problems are encountered in the Abstract</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> In the last paragraph in Introduction section, the authors need to present the study. i.e. "This paper present...state the title... then mention your technique, brief results, and merit of the study.</p> <p><b>Methodology</b> - The Methodology section has NOT been clearly discussed. Please make sure the framework of research, flowchart and processes are embedded in this section</p> <p><b>Result</b> The author needs to analyze their result.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Norsuzila Ya'acob</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia</b>