

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_118522</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Use of Land resource inventory and soil nutrient status for mapping the Madapura micro-watershed in Ranebennur taluk, Haveri district, Karnataka, India</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is good for scientific discourse after the provided suggestions are been addressed by the Authors.</p> <p>The Authors should begin the title of the manuscript "Geospatial Techniques for Mapping Madapura micro-watershed or Mapping Madapura micro-watershed using GIS and Remote Sensing Techniques"</p> <p>The abstract did not capture the contributions of the study.</p> <p>Yes, with the exclusion of the Data used</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>No, the references are not sufficient. The Authors should rewrite the introductory section and review more on existing literatures to support claims and also bridge the research gap on past studies and recent studies of the Study area. Also, why the adopted techniques are significant for the study area and contribution to scientific knowledge.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The Authors should provide information on the Data used. There must be a Data Used as a sub-section under Materials Used in this present study. Was the data harmonized or not harmonized? What other techniques did they applied to the used data?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Michael Stanley Peprah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ghana</b>