

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_118514
Title of the Manuscript:	Geographical Indications of vegetable crops in India- role in farmer's empowerment and agro-biodiversity management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Analysis of Original Research Article: "Geographical Indications of Vegetable Crops in India - Role in Farmer's Empowerment and Agro-Biodiversity Management"</p> <p>Availability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The topic of Geographical Indications and their impact on agriculture and farmer empowerment is well-documented. However, the specific analysis of vegetable GIs and the detailed data collection from the GI registry website is specific to this study. ○ The use of detailed tables and figures to present data on the distribution and ownership of vegetable GIs is available in similar formats in other studies but the specific context and findings of this research make it a unique contribution. <p>Errors and Issues:</p> <p>Data Presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The tables (e.g., Table 1 and Table 2) are useful but could be clearer with better formatting and more concise data representation. Combining some tables or adding summary statistics could improve readability. ○ Some figures and percentages in the tables are not fully explained in the text, which might confuse readers who are not adept at interpreting raw data. <p>Language and Grammar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There are several grammatical errors and awkward phrasings. For instance, the sentence "In case of 19 vegetable GIs registered, that is in case of 59 percent of registered GIs in vegetables..." could be simplified for better readability. ○ The abstract and introduction have some repetitive sentences and redundancy that could be streamlined to enhance clarity and impact. <p>Citation and Referencing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The paper references several studies and reports but lacks a comprehensive bibliography or reference list at the end. Proper citation according to academic standards is necessary. ○ Some statements are made without proper citation, for example, "It has been reported that 80% consumers are ready to pay 20-30% higher prices..." <p>Consistency and Structure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The article occasionally jumps between different points without clear transitions, making it harder to follow the main arguments. ○ Some sections, such as the review of literature, are quite extensive and detailed, which is good but could benefit from summarization and focusing on the most relevant points. 	

Review Form 1.7

	Overall Assessment: The article presents an important and timely analysis of Geographical Indications in the context of vegetable crops in India, offering new insights into their role in farmer empowerment and biodiversity management. While the topic is not entirely new, the specific focus and recent data provide added value. The research could be significantly improved with better data presentation, clearer language, proper citation, and a more structured approach to discussing the findings.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein Fawzi Hussein
Department, University & Country	Egypt