

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_120437</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MODELLING AND OPTIMIZATION OF A BREWERY PLANT FROM STARCH SOURCES USING ASPEN PLUS.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Method Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The main objective of this study is to develop and optimize a simulation model using Aspen Plus for the complete brewing process, using a mixture of malted barley and raw sorghum to produce fermented beverage	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	In Abstract should remove Numbers of references (1,2)	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The Introduction section clarifies the motivation for the work presented and prepares readers for the structure of the paper. The Materials and Methods section provides sufficient detail for other scientists to reproduce the experiments presented in the paper.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	the references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	perfect	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This article is a significant contribution, generally well written, within the scope of The journal and suitable for publication after some revision.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Osama Asanousi Eshteivi Lamma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bani Waleed University, Libya</b>