

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_120435</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Kodo Millet Genotypes for Salt Stress Tolerance at the Seedling Stage Using Germination Tray</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The problem addressed by the authors is quite intensely investigated in the scientific environment. Originality can be related to the chosen variety and the 42 genotypes chosen for experimentation. The importance of the work for the scientific world is diminished by the fetus that most experiments were useless (with salinity 100, 250, 500 mm). In this way the limit of bearability remains somewhere between the concentrations of 50 and 100 mm and, for better results, the experiments should be restored with other values of salinity of the solutions, probably 0, 50, 70, 90, 110 mm. In other words, the yield of the experiment is small and the low precision results.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The general research framework is correct. However, there are some aspects that must be clarified by the authors: A1) How many containers have been used in total in the experiment, including replicas? A2) Fig. 1, 2, 3, and 4 are not cited in the text, so not evidence for discussions and conclusions. They are not commented either. In addition the labels on the horizontal axis, at Fig. 3 and 4 are not visible in normal format. Also, table 1 is not cited in the text of the manuscript. A3) There are also abbreviations that should be explained and are important for understanding the results</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent. 40 % of references are published over the past ten years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language in the manuscript has correction errors, both grammatical and academic errors. I recommend correcting the text with the help of text editor facilities (Word), then with specialized programs (Grammarly, Quill Bot, free or upper versions). The reformulation of some of the sentences can be done using the Quill Bot Paraphrasing program. Before FCE corrections, it is necessary to negotiate with the publisher on English variants (UK, USA, India, others).</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The work is published with the condition of a major review, after which a new review will find if the requirements have been satisfied.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cardei Petru</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The National Institute of Research Development for Machines and Installations Designed for Agriculture and Food Industry, Romania</b>